additional information.

See attached form for NOV 2 8 Interpretory Report Control No.:

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE 1. CERTIFICATE NUMBER: 52-R-0110 CUSTOMER NUMBER: 21687

FORM APPROVED OMB NO. 0579-0036

ANNUAL REPORT OF RESEARCH FACILITY (TYPE OR PRINT)

Ora Inc Po Box 5010 Falmouth, VA 22403

Telephone: (540) -903-9296

3. REPORTING FACILITY (List all locations where animals were housed or used in actual research, testing, or experimentation, or held for these purposes. Attach additional sheets if necessary)

FACILITY LOCATIONS (Sites) - See Atached Listing

REPORT OF ANIMALS USED BY OR UNDER CONTROL OF RESEARCH FACILITY (Attach additional sheets if necessary or use APHIS Form 7023A)						
A. Animals Covered By The Animal Welfare Regulations	B. Number of animal being bred, conditioned, or held for use in teaching, testing, experiments, research, or surgery but not ye used for such purposes.	C. Number of animals upon which teaching, research, experiments, or tests were conducted involving no pain, distress, or use or pain-relieving drugs.	D. Number of animals upon which experiments, teaching, research, surgery, or tests were conducted involving accompanying pain or distress to the animals an for which appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquilizing drugs were used.	E. Number of animals upon which teaching, experiments, research, surgery or tests were conducted involving accompanying pain or distress to the animals and for whithe use of appropriate anesthetic, analgesic, or tranquilized urgs would have adversely affected the procedures, resort interpretation of the teaching, research, experiments, surgery, or tests. (An explanation of the procedures producing pain or distress in these animals and the reast such drugs were not used must be attached to this report	TOTAL NUMBER OF ANIMALS (COLUMNS C + D + E)	
4. Dogs						
5. Cats	······································		V-1-2-			
6. Guinea Pigs			11.	_	·,·	
7. Hamsters						
8. Rabbits						
9. Non-human Primates						
10. Sheep	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11. Pigs	10		182	****	192	
12. Other Farm Animals						
13. Other Animals						

ASSURANCE STATEMENTS

- 1) Professionally acceptable standards governing the care, treatment, and use of animals, including appropriate use of anestetic, analgesic, and tranquilizing drugs, prior to, during, and following actual rese teaching, testing, surgery, or experimentation were followed by this research facility.
- 2) Each principal investigator has considered alternatives to painful procedures.
- 3) This facility is adhering to the standards and regulations under the Act, and it has required that exceptions to the standards and regulations be specified and explained by the principal investigator and ap Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). A summary of all such exceptions is attached to this annual report. In addition to identifying the IACUC-approved exceptions, this summary inc brief explanation of the exceptions, as well as the species and number of animals affected.

		FICATION BY HEADQUARTERS RESEARCH FACILITY OFFICIAL of Executive Officer or Legally Responsible Institutional Official)	··
	b6, b7c	NAME & TITLE OF CIF O. OD INSTITUTIONAL OFFICIAL ATTACKNESS	DATE SIGNED
50, 576	50, 570	b6, b7c	11/26/200
(AUG 91)		799	Pr

26 November 2008 ORA Inc.

Certificate Number: 52-R-0110

Customer Number: 21687

Annual report continuation sheet

Block 3: Facility Locations

a. ORA Inc. (b)(2)High, (b)(7)f

Summary of IACUC approved exceptions to regulations and standards:

During the reporting year ORA conducted research involving the implant of biomechanical sensors inside swine. 24 animals were involved. The sensors were stereotaxically implanted into the cerebral parenchyma. Aseptic techniques were not used since these were terminal procedures and the animals remained under general anesthesia during the entire implant and test procedure. The animals were not recovered to consciousness before euthanasia. The use of aseptic technique was unnecessary given the short time period involved (<12 hours) from implant to euthanasia and the necessity to perform the implants in the field to minimize the possibility of dislodging a sensor and obtaining erroneous data.